



The influence of freezing on the tensile strength of tendon grafts : a biomechanical study

Nele ARNOUT, Jan MYNCKE, Johan VANLAUWE, Luc LABEY, Daniel LISMONT, Johan BELLEMANS

From the KUL University Hospitals, Leuven, Belgium

We investigated the influence of freezing on the tensile strength of fresh frozen tendon grafts. The biomechanical characteristics of tendons that are less commonly used in knee surgery (tibialis anterior, tibialis posterior, peroneus longus and medial and lateral half of Achilles tendons) were compared to those of a semitendinosus and gracilis graft harvested from the same 10 multi-organ donors.

All right side tendons constituted the study group and were frozen at -80°C and thawed at room temperature 5 times. All left side tendons were frozen at -80°C and thawed at room temperature once. There were 59 tendons in the control group and 56 in the study group. The looped grafts were clamped at one side using a custom-made freeze clamp and loaded until failure on an Instron 4505 testing machine.

The average ultimate failure load was not significantly different between the control and the study group (p > 0.05). The failure load of the medial tendon Achilles was the lowest in both study and control group (p < 0.001). There was no significant difference in maximum stress, maximum displacement, maximum strain and stiffness between the control and study group (p > 0.05).

From our study, we conclude that freezing tendons at -80° C and thawing several times does not influence the maximum load, maximum stress, maximum displacement, maximum strain and stiffness. The medial half of the Achilles tendon is clearly the weakest tendon (p < 0.001).

These findings show that tendon grafts can be frozen at -80°C and thawed at room temperature several times without altering their biomechanical properties.

Keywords : anterior cruciate ligament ; tendon graft ; biomechanical properties ; fresh frozen ; cadaveric ; tensile study.

INTRODUCTION

It has been our experience that different tissue banks employ different protocols in the preparation

- Nele Arnout, MD, Orthopaedic Surgeon.
- Jan Myncke, MD, Orthopaedic Surgeon.
- Johan Vanlauwe, MD, PhD, orthopaedic surgeon.
- Johan Bellemans, MD, PhD, Professor and head of the department.

Orthopaedic Department, KUL University Hospitals, Leuven.

- Luc Labey, Ir., PhD. Faculty of Engineering, Division of Biomechanics and Engineering Design, KUL Leuven.
- Daniel Lismont, MD, Certified Tissue Banking Specialist. Department of Tissue Banking, University Hospital Leuven. Correspondence : Dr Nele Arnout, University Hospitals
- Ghent, De Pintelaan 185, 9000 Gent, Belgium. E-mail : nelearnout@yahoo.com, nele.arnout@uzgent.be © 2013, Acta Orthopædica Belgica.

No benefits or funds were received in support of this study. The authors report no conflict of interests. of tendon grafts. Some tissue banks harvest from multi-organ donors and subsequently clean, prepare and pack the grafts for later clinical use. Using this method grafts are frozen only once, however the procedure of harvesting is longer and the risk of contamination is higher. Other tissue banks harvest grafts and immediately freeze them to -80°C. Further preparation of the grafts takes place after all serological and bacteriological tests have been found to be negative. This procedure requires freezing and thawing at room temperature twice. The question arises as to whether repeated cycles of freezing and thawing have a deleterious effect on the biomechanical properties of tendon grafts.

In clinical practice allograft material may occasionally be thawed but not unpacked nor used. Is it then appropriate to return and refreeze this material for future use without this entailing an unacceptable deterioration of the biomechanical properties of the allogeneic material ?

Repeated freezing and thawing also occurs in 'in vitro' biomechanical testing.

Evidence of tissue damage from extracellular ice crystals, formed during freezing, has been shown in smooth muscle studies to be due to the formation of ice between muscle bundles, trapping and cutting the fibers (11). The formation of ice crystals is influenced by the rate of cooling. Small tissue samples have a cooling rate of 2-3°C/min when placed at -80°C, while massive allografts have a slow rate of cooling (1°/min). This can result in more ice formation and crushing of the fibers (10,28).

The purpose of this study is to determine whether repeated cycles of freezing and thawing result in any measurable deterioration in the biomechanical properties of the allogeneic tendon. We studied this effect in semitendinosus and gracilis tendons, generally used for grafting, and compared the effect of repeated cycles of freezing and thawing on other potential graft tendons.

To our knowledge, there is no data in literature on the influence of multiple freeze-thaw cycles on the biomechanical properties of grafts. The studies from Viidik (*38*) and Woo (*42*) have shown that one freeze-thaw cycle does not change the biomechanical properties of rabbit ligaments in comparison with fresh tendons.

METHODS

Tissue procurement and graft preparation

Semitendinosus and gracilis tendons (STG), tibialis anterior tendon (TA), tibialis posterior tendon (TP), peroneus longus (PL) and the Achilles tendon (divided in a medial (ATM) and lateral half (ATL) were harvested) from both legs of 10 multi-organ donors (9 male, 1 female) with ages ranging from 47 to 70 years (median : 59 years)

The tendons from the right hand side formed the test group and were frozen at -80° C and thawed in saline at 37°C for five times consecutively . The left hand side tendons served as the control group and were frozen and thawed at room temperature in saline only once. From the control group 1 STG and from the study group 4 STG were damaged during procurement, leaving 115 grafts for testing.

After removal of the bone block, the Achilles tendon was divided into a medial and lateral halves. All specimens were looped and the free ends were braided side-to-side under tension on a workstation (Acufex, Smith&Nephew, Memphis, USA) with #1 Vicryl (Ethicon, Johnson & Johnson, Somerville, USA) using a whipstitch. Braiding was done as in clinical use and was standardized for all specimens. The diameter of the braided grafts was measured using a graft sizer. Graft sizers with incremental diameters of 0.5 mm were used. The folded end was placed over a 6mm metal rod, while the free ends were clamped in a custom-made freeze clamp (Fig. 1). In this way, the tendons were able to slide over the bar, which allowed equilibration of the tension between the 2 strands (13). Care was taken to have the folded end of the graft 6 cm outside the clamp. In this way, the clinically relevant mid-portion of the graft was tested. Dry ice (-80°C) was inserted in the receptacles of the tendon clamp. The tendon was allowed to freeze until freezing was observed just outside (1-2 mm) the clamp (26) (Fig. 2).

All specimens were mounted on an testing machine (Model 4505, Instron Corp., Canton, MA, U.S.A.) with a 5 kN load cell. After a preload of 5 N (9), the grafts were pulled to failure at a rate of 1 mm/s, similar to strain rates reported in other studies (17,26,41).

Data analysis

The maximum load and displacement at maximum load were recorded. Stiffness was measured in the linear part of the curve. The maximal stress was calculated by



Fig. 1. - Freezing clamp



Fig. 2. - Test set-up

dividing the maximal load by the calculated surface area of the graft. The maximal elongation divided by the free length outside the clamp gave the maximal strain.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using a Wilcoxon paired *t*-test to compare the results of the study group with those of the control group (significance set at p < 0.05). For comparison between the different tendons, a Wilcoxon unpaired *t*-test was used. To reduce the number of false-positive results, a Bonferoni adjustment of the p-value was used (p < 0.005).

An initial power analysis with $\beta = 0.1$ and $\alpha = 0.05$ showed that, in order to find a difference of 1%, more than 20.000 specimens per group are needed and for a 10% difference (with the same α and β) more than 200 specimens per group. As the availability of multi organ donors is limited, our study material was limited to tendons from 10 donors.

RESULTS

Clinical features of control and study group

The mean length of the tendons before folding, respectively for control and study group, was for STG 25.2 +/- 2.4 and 23.6 +/- 4.35 cm ; for TA 30.5 +/- 1.35 and 30.1 +/- 2.81 cm ; for TP 28.7 +/- 3.59 and 26.2 +/- 3.19 cm ; for PL 31.2 +/- 1.14 and 29.9 +/- 2.81 cm ; for ATM 25.4 +/- 2.37 and 24.6+/-

2.12 cm ; for ATL 25.3 +/- 1.77 and 24.6 +/- 2.17 cm. The mean diameter of the tendons from control and study group was 8.51+/-0.8 en 8.42+/-0.7 mm with p = 0.49 (Wilcoxon paired *t*-test).

There was no significant difference between the tested or control tendons in any of the anatomical sites studied in terms of length or cross-sectional area.

Maximum load to failure

The results of the maximal load to failure are presented in table I. No overall statistically significant difference was identified between the test and control. The maximal load to failure of ATM was significantly weaker in both the test and control groups (Table I).

The maximal stress is presented in figure 3. There was no significant difference (p > 0.05) in maximal stress between the study and control group (Table II) in any of the tendon groups studied.

In the study group, the maximal stress of ATM and ATL was significantly lower (p < 0.001) than those of TA and TP.

Maximal elongation

The maximal elongation is presented in figure 4. There was no statistically significant difference demonstrated between the study or control tendons (p > 0.05) in maximal displacement (Table II).

rable 1 Maximum load to randle. Wheokon parted i-test ($p < 0.05$)									
	Control	Control	Study	Study	Statistic*				
	average	range	average	range	difference				
STG	3120 N	2370 N-3870 N	3280 N	2440 N-4040 N	p > 0.2				
TA	3980 N	2910 N-5080 N	4300 N	3000 N-5110 N	<i>p</i> < 0.05				
TP	3500 N	2820 N-4520 N	3500 N	1780 N-4330 N	p > 0.2				
PL	3420 N	2410 N-4230 N	3350 N	2700 N-4130 N	p > 0.2				
ATM	1690 N	560 N-2800 N	1900 N	1000 N-3120 N	p > 0.2				
ATL	2960 N	2330 N-3990 N	2290 N	610 N-3140 N	<i>p</i> < 0.01				

Table I. — Maximum load to failure. Wilcoxon paired *t*-test (p < 0.05)



Fig. 3. - Comparison of maximum stress between the different tendons in control and study group

In the control group, the maximal elongation of ATM was significantly less (p < 0.001) than for STG and ATL. In the study group, the maximal elongation of ATM was significantly less (p < 0.001) than those of STG, TA and PL.

Maximal strain

There was also no statistically significant difference (p > 0.05) between the control and study group (Table II).

In the control group, the maximal strain of ATM was significantly less (p < 0.001) than ATL. In the study group, the maximal strain of ATM was significantly less (p < 0.001) than STG, TA and PL.

Stiffness

The control and study group were not statistically significantly different (p > 0.05) (Table II).

than STG and ATM (p < 0.001). TP were also significantly stiffer than ATM (p < 0.001). In the study group, TA were significantly stiffer than ATM and ATL (p < 0.001).

DISCUSSION

In the control group, TA were significantly stiffer

Methodological Issues

The small size of the study group is a statistical weakness difficult to overcome in this sort of studies.

Gibbons *et al* have (9) demonstrated that right and left tendons from the same donor exhibit the same tensile properties. Because the clinical features of the left and right tendons were similar, we assumed that the initial biomechanical properties of left and right tendons before treatment were also similar.

Wilcoxon paired <i>t</i> -test ($p < 0.05$)							
	Max stress	Max displacement	Max Strain	Stiffness			
STG	p > 0.2	p > 0.2	p > 0.2	p > 0.2			
ТА	p < 0.1	p > 0.2	p > 0.2	p > 0.2			
ТР	p > 0.2	p > 0.2	p > 0.2	p > 0.2			
PL	p > 0.2	p > 0.2	p > 0.2	p > 0.2			
ATM	p > 0.2	p > 0.2	p > 0.2	p > 0.2			
ATL	p < 0.1	p < 0.2	p < 0.2	p < 0.2			

Table II. — Comparison of maximum stress, maximum displacement, maximum strain and stiffness. Wilcoxon paired *t*-test (p < 0.05)

max elongation



Fig. 4. — Comparison of maximum elongation between the different tendons in control and study group

The difficulty in testing tensile properties of tendons without bone block, is gripping the tendon without slippage and without damaging the tendon fibers. We used a custom-made freeze clamp, as recommended in the literature (8,12,13,20,26,29,30). Any tearing at the insertion into the clamp may result in lower measurements for strength and stiffness. We occasionally observed slippage at the site of insertion into the clamp. Therefore, the results for maximal load, maximal stress and stiffness may have been slightly underestimated.

We used a strain rate of 1 mm/sec, as used in other studies (17,26,41). This corresponds to a strain rate of approximately 5%/sec. Some authors have recommended a strain rate of 100%/sec, since this rate is thought to produce soft tissue disruption before bony avulsion occurs (22,40). As we did not use bone-tendon-bone specimen, the effect of strain rate on failure mode is not a factor in this study.

For this study, we had no area micrometer available as described by Walker *et al* (39) and Ellis (7). Because we used a graft sizer on all grafts, we can compare the results of maximum stress between the different grafts. Areas measured by one method tend to differ from those measured by another by a constant factor K (7). This should be kept in mind when the results are compared with the literature.

From a tissue banking point of view, it is easier to harvest the tendons during the multi organ donor surgery and clean the tissues in a second stage after the period of quarantine.

To date, the most frequently used tendons for ACL-reconstruction include bone-patellar tendonbone block (1-3,14,18,21,25,27,33,34,37,43), quadriceps tendon, hamstrings tendons and Achilles tendon (15,19,25,27,33). There are fewer reports on the use of tibialis anterior, tibialis posterior or peroneal tendons (4,13,26). In this study, the biomechanical Max Strain



Fig. 5. - Comparison of maximum strain between the different tendons in control and study group



Stiffness

Fig. 6. — Comparison of stiffness between the different tendons in control and study group

properties of STG, TA, TP, PL and Achilles tendon (ATM, ATL) were determined and can be compared with the literature.

Woo *et al* (41) demonstrated that the tensile strength of native ACL decreases with aging. The average age of the grafts was 59 years (range 47-70 y). In clinical use, specimens under 40 years are usually required to provide adequate biomechanical strength for ligamentous reconstruction. Because our tensile tests still showed high values, we therefore agree with Pearsall *et al* (26) that older specimen still have sufficient biomechanical strength to be considered as potential candidates for allograft donation, thereby increasing the donor pool of these grafts.

Increasing the cross-sectional area of the graft gives higher values for maximal load (16). For ACL

Acta Orthopædica Belgica, Vol. 79 - 4 - 2013

reconstruction, increasing the cross-sectional area is limited by the space available in the intercondylar notch. In order to be comparable with clinical use, we decided to split the Achilles tendon in a medial and lateral half and thinning out the grafts until their diameter was less than 10 mm.

Influence of freezing

To our knowledge, there is no data in literature on the influence of multiple freeze-thaw cycles on the biomechanical properties of grafts. The studies from Viidik and Lewin (*38*) and Woo *et al* (*42*) have shown that one freeze-thaw cycle doesn't change the biomechanical properties of rabbit ligaments in comparison with fresh tendons. In our study, we found no statistically significant difference between the study and control group. We therefore conclude that multiple freezing does not influence the biomechanical properties of the grafts.

Suitability of the grafts for ligament reconstruction

To be suitable for ligamentous reconstruction, tendon allografts must have biomechanical properties equal to or better than native ACL. We have considered only the initial mechanical properties of the allografts. After reconstruction, remodeling of collagenous tissue and revascularization occurs. Studies with animal models have demonstrated considerable weakening of biological grafts, compared with their initial strength (1,5,16). After ACL reconstruction, tibial fixation fails at a much lower force than the ligament (32). These issues are not considered in this study.

In literature, the maximal load of native ACL varies between 633 and 1725 N, with reported stiffness ranging from 129 to 220 N/mm (*17*,22,23,31, 36,41).

Studies testing bone-patellar tendon - bone grafts found values for maximal load from 1784 to 2977 N and for stiffness from 210 to 519 N/mm (3,6,22-24).

The average maximum load of the STG grafts in our study was 3120 N. In literature, maximum loads from 1216 N up to 4590 N are described (8,12,13,20,22-24). Tis *et al* (35) found lower values of maximal load (532N), but these tensile tests were performed without freeze-clamps. Hamner *et al* (12) had the highest values of maximal load (4590N), when the 4 strands were equally tensioned by the use of weights. They also reported a stiffness of 776N, while in other studies the reported stiffness of STG grafts range from 213 to 418 N/mm (8,13,24). Only Millet *et al* (20) found a stiffness of 1553 N/mm. In our study, the STG grafts were manually tensioned. This can explain the slightly lower values.

The maximal load was highest in the TA tendons with an average of 3980 N. Some grafts even had maximal loads exceeding 5 kN. The average stiffness was 424 N/mm. These values are comparable with the literature, with reported maximal loads from 3421 to 4122 N and stiffness from 344-460 N/mm (13,26).

For TP grafts, our results for maximal load (3500 N) and stiffness (392 N/mm) match those reported in literature with values of maximal load and stiffness of 3391 to 3594 N and 301 to 379 N/mm respectively (*13,26*).

The average maximal load and stiffness of PL were respectively 3420 N and 352 N/mm. This is comparable to the literature (2483N and 243N/mm) (26).

In literature, many reports exist on the use of Achilles tendon allografts for ligamentous reconstruction with good clinical outcome (15,19,25,27,33). We could not find reports on the biomechanical strength of fresh frozen Achilles tendon allografts. In our study, the lowest maximum loads were in the ATM group, where grafts failed at 560 N (Table I). We found that the Achilles tendon is, in our tests the weakest tendon looking at all evaluated parameters when compared to TA, TP, STG and PL tendons.

CONCLUSIONS

Despite a statistical weakness inherent to this type of studies, several conclusions may be drawn from this study :

1. Repeated freezing and thawing of a tendon graft does not influence the biomechanical characteristics of the graft.

2. The tibialis anterior, tibialis posterior, peroneus longus and hamstrings tendons have strong biomechanical properties and are therefore suitable for ligamentous reconstruction.

3. The biomechanical properties of the Achilles tendon, especially of the medial half, are less favourable as compared to the other tendons tested.

REFERENCES

- 1. Arnoczky SP, Warren RF, Ashlock MA. Replacement of the anterior cruciate ligament using a patellar tendon allograft. An experimental study. *J Bone Joint Surg* 1986; 68-A: 376-385.
- Bach BR Jr, Aadalen KJ, Dennis MG et al. Primary anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using fresh-frozen, non-irradiated patellar tendon allograft : minimum 2-year follow-up. Am J Sports Med 2005 ; 33 : 284-292.
- 3. Blevins FT, Hecker AT, Bigler GT, Boland AL, Hayes WC. The effects of donor age and strain rate on the

biomechanical properties of bone-patellar tendon-bone allografts. *Am J Sports Med* 1994; 22: 328-333.

- **4. Caborn DN, Selby JB.** Allograft anterior tibialis tendon with bioabsorbable interference screw fixation in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Arthroscopy* 2002; 18: 102-105.
- Chun CH, Han HJ, Lee BC, Kim DC, Yang JH. Histologic findings of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with Achilles allograft. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2004; 421: 273-276.
- 6. Cooper DE, Deng XH, Burstein AL, Warren RF. The strength of the central third patellar tendon graft : a biomechanical study. *Am J Sports Med* 1993 ; 21 : 818-824.
- Ellis DG. Cross-sectional measurements for tendon specimens: a comparison of several methods. J Biomechanics 1969; 2:175-186.
- Ferretti A, Conteduca F, Morelli F et al. Biomechanics of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using twisted doubled hamstring tendons. *Int Orthop* 2003; 27: 22-25.
- **9. Gibbons MJ, Butler DL, Grood ES** *et al.* Effects of gamma irradiation on the initial mechanical and material properties of goat bone-patellar tendon-bone allografts. *J Orthop Res* 1991; 9 : 209-218.
- 10. Gjerset G, Nelson KA, Strong DM. Methods of cryopreserving cell. In : Rose NR, deMacario EC, Fahey JL, Friedman H, Penn GM, eds. *Manual of Clinical Laboratory Immunology*. Washington, D.C.: American Society of Microbiology, 1992, pp 61-67.
- Grout BWW. The effects of ice formation during cryopreservation of clinical systems. In : Fuller BJ, Grout BWW, (eds). *Clinical Applications of Cryobiology*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1991, pp 81-94.
- 12. Hamner D, Brown C, Steiner M, Hecker A, Hayes WC. Hamstrings tendon grafts for reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament : biomechanical evaluation of the use of multiple strands and tensioning systems. *J Bone Joint Surg* 1999; 81-A : 549-557.
- **13. Haut Donahue TL, Howell SM, Hull ML, Gregersen C.** A biomechanical evaluation of anterior and posterior tibialis tendons as suitable single-loop anterior cruciate ligament grafts. *Arthroscopy* 2002; 18: 589-597.
- 14. Indelicato PA, Bittar ES, Prevot TJ et al. Clinical comparison of freeze-dried and fresh frozen patellar allografts for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction of the knee. *Am J Sports Med* 1990; 18 : 335-342.
- Indelli PF, Dillingham MF, Fanton GS, Schurman DJ. Anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using cryopreserved allografts. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2004; 420: 268-275.
- 16. Jackson DW, Grood ES, Goldstein JD et al. A comparison of patellar tendon autograft and allograft used for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in the goat model. Am J Sports Med 1993; 21: 176-185.
- 17. Kennedy JC, Hawkins RJ, Willis RB et al. Tension studies of human knee ligaments : yield point, ultimate failure,

and disruption of the cruciate and tibial collateral ligaments. *J Bone Joint Surg* 1976; 58-A: 350-355.

- Kustos T, Balint L, Than P, Bardos T. Comparative study of autograft or allograft in primary anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Int Orthop* 2004; 28: 290-293.
- 19. Linn RM, Fischer DA, Smith JP, Burstein DB, Quick DC. Achilles tendon allograft reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament-deficient knee. *Am J Sports Med* 1993; 21: 825-831.
- 20. Millet PJ, Miller BS, Close M et al. Effects of braiding on tensile properties of four-strand human hamstring tendon allografts. Am J Sports Med 2003; 31:714-717.
- Noyes FR, Barber-Westin SD. Reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament with human allograft : comparison of early and later results. *J Bone Joint Surg* 1996; 78-A : 524– 537.
- 22. Noyes FR, Butler DL, Grood ES, Zernicke RF, Hefzy MS. Biomechanical analysis of human ligament grafts used in knee-ligament repairs and reconstructions. *J Bone Joint Surg* 1984; 66-A: 344-352.
- 23. Noyes FR, Grood ES. The strength of the anterior cruciate ligament in humans and Rhesus monkeys : age-related and species-related changes. *J Bone Joint Surg* 1976 ; 58-A : 1074-1082.
- 24. Odensten M, Gillquist J. Functional anatomy of the anterior cruciate ligament and a rationale for reconstruction. *J Bone Joint Surg* 1985; 67-A: 257-262.
- 25. Olsen EJ, Harner CD, Fu FH, Silbey MB. Clinical use of fresh, frozen soft tissue allografts. *Orthopedics* 1992 ; 15 : 1225-1232.
- 26. Pearsall AW, Hollis M, Russell GV, Scheer Z. A biomechanical comparison of three lower extremity tendons for ligamentous reconstruction about the knee. *Arthroscopy* 2003; 19:1091-1096.
- Poehling GG, Curl WW, Lee CA et al. Analysis of outcome of anterior cruciate ligament repair : allograft versus autograft. Arthroscopy 2005; 21:774-785.
- 28. Rezaiamiri S, Davis C, Tencer AF, Conrad EU, Strong DM. The effects of cryopreservation and irradiation on human patellar tendon allografts. In : Phillips GO, Strong DM, Van Versen R (eds). Advances in Tissue Banking : vol 2. World Scientific Publishing, Singapore, 1998, pp 191-205.
- **29. Rickert M, Georgousis H, Witzel U.** [The native tensile strength of the supraspinatus tendon : a biomechanical study] (in German) *Unfallchirurg* 1998 ; 101 : 265-270.
- **30. Riemersa DJ, Schamhardt HC.** The cryo-jaw : a clamp designed for in vitro rheology studies of horse digital flexor tendon. *J Biomech* 1982 ; 15 : 619-620.
- **31. Rowden NJ, Sher D, Rogers GJ, Schindhelm K.** Anterior cruciate ligament graft fixation : initial comparison of patellar tendon and semitendinosus autografts in young fresh cadavers. *Am J Sports Med* 1997 ; 25 ; 472-478.
- 32. Scheffler SU,Südkamp NP,Gockenjan A,Hoffmann RF, Weiler A. Biomechanical comparison of hamstring and patellar tendon graft anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction

techniques : the impact of fixation method under cyclic loading. *Arthroscopy* 2002 ; 18 : 304-315.

- **33. Siebold R, Buelow JU, Bös L, Ellermann A.** Primary ACL reconstruction with fresh-frozen patellar versus Achilles tendon allografts. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg* 2003; 123:180-185.
- **34. Stringham DR, Pelmas CJ, Burks RT, Newman AP, Marcus RL.** Comparison of anterior cruciate ligament reconstructions using patellar tendon autograft or allograft. *Arthroscopy* 1996 ; 12 : 414-421.
- **35. Tis JE, Klemme WR, Kirk KL, Murphy KP, Cunningham B.** Braided hamstring tendons for reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament : a biomechanical analysis. *Am J Sports Med* 2002 ; 30 : 684-688.
- **36. Trent PS, Walker PS, Wolf B.** Ligament length patterns, strength, and rotational axes of the knee joint. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1976; 117: 263-270.
- 37. Victor J, Bellemans J, Witvrouw E, Govaers K, Fabry G. Graft selection in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction : prospective analysis of patellar tendon autografts compared with allografts. *Int Orthop* 1997; 21: 93-97.
- 38. Viidik A, Lewin T. Changes in tensile strength characteristics and histology of rabbit ligaments induced by different

modes of postmortem storage. Acta Orthop Scand 1966; 37: 141-155.

- Walker LB, Harris EH, Benedict JV. Stress-strain relationship in human cadaveric plantaris tendon : a preliminary study. *Med Electron Biol Engng* 1964 ; 2 : 31-38.
- 40. Wilson TW, Zafuta MP, Zobitz M. A biomechanical analysis of matched bone-patellar tendon-bone and doublelooped semitendinosus and gracilis tendon grafts. *Am J Sports Med* 1999; 27: 202-207.
- **41. Woo SL-Y, Hollis M, Adams DJ, Lyon RM, Takai S.** Tensile properties of the human femur-anterior cruciate ligament-tibia complex : the effects of specimen age and orientation. *Am J Sports Med* 1991 ; 19 : 217-225.
- 42. Woo SL-Y, Orlando CA, Camp JF, Akeson WH. Effects of postmortem storage by freezing on ligament tensile behavior. J Biomech, 1986; 19: 399-404.
- **43. Zimmerman MC, Contiliano JH, Parsons JR, Prewett A, Billotti J.** The biomechanics and histopathology of chemically processed patellar tendon allografts for anterior cruciate ligament replacement *Am J Sports Med* 1994 ; 22 : 378-386.