

BACTERIAL CONTAMINATION OF ALLOGRAFTS

R. H. BARRIOS¹, M. LEYES¹, S. AMILLO¹, C. OTEIZA²

The risk of bacterial infection through allogenic bone transplantation is one of the major problems facing tissue banks. The purpose of this study is to report the contamination rate in 987 grafts obtained under strictly aseptic conditions, between 1989 and 1992. The grafts were stored at - 80°C (cortical bone and tendons) and - 40°C (cancellous bone).

The overall contamination rate was 6.6%, with Gram-positive bacteria responsible for 80% of the positive cultures.

We discuss the sources of contamination, the most frequently isolated bacteria and the steps in the donation and transplantation procedures that help to reduce the risk of contamination.

We conclude that the methods of acquisition, processing and storage of tissues are effective in making sterile allografts available.

Keywords : bone allograft ; procurement ; freezing ; microbiology.

Mots-clés : allogreffe osseuse ; prélèvement ; congélation ; microbiologie.

INTRODUCTION

The use of allografts in musculoskeletal surgery has become an accepted procedure. There are many ways of harvesting and storing them in tissue banks (1, 2). Considerable care must be taken to avoid the transmission of infectious diseases (1, 2, 3, 4). The sources of contamination can be the donor or, during procurement, storage and implantation (3). It is therefore important to undertake rigorous testing to avoid infection and maintain strict asepsis during all these steps.

The purpose of this study is to report the incidence of bacterial contamination of allografts in our tissue bank.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Between 1989 and 1992, 987 grafts were obtained from patients under strictly aseptic conditions. The harvested grafts were of three main types : femoral head specimens removed at the time of primary total hip replacement or hemiarthroplasty (n = 655), whole bones or segments of bones (n = 237) and tendon allografts (n = 95) taken from cadaveric donors. Each donor was studied historically, bacteriologically and serologically. All donors met the selection criteria of the American Association of Tissue Banks (1, 2). For cadaveric donors, the cause of death and any comorbid state of the donor were determined at autopsy before implantation of the grafts. All tissues from cadavers were collected in an operating room by orthopedic surgeons and residents, using routine sterile techniques. Material for culture was obtained in all tissues from cadavers and patients at the time of harvesting, before the grafts were placed in the tissue bank. A swab was rubbed over the length of each graft. The swab was placed in an Amies transport medium (6) and sent with a piece of each graft for microbiological testing. These samples were inoculated in thioglycolate broth and incubated at 37°C. After 48 hours, a subculture was done using blood agar incubated at 37°C for 48 hours, under aerobic and anaerobic conditions. Afterwards the culture was reported. Cultures of blood from cadavers at the time of procurement were also performed. All grafts were packed in three sterile plastic bags and wrapped in labeled waterproof paper and then stored by freezing in electrical freezers at - 40°C (femoral heads) and - 80°C (whole bones or segments of bones and tendons). The freezers were equipped with an alarm

¹ Department of Orthopedic Surgery and Traumatology.

² Department of Microbiology, University Clinic, School of Medicine, University of Navarra, Pamplona, Spain.

Correspondence and reprints : M. Leyes.

to ensure that the tissues were kept frozen until they were used. An allograft was used only if all the cultures were negative and after the freezing period was completed (minimum 3 weeks). All the contaminated allografts were discarded on receipt of the results. In all the noncontaminated allografts further cultures were done when the grafts were transplanted.

RESULTS

Of the 987 allografts, there were 65 positive cultures (6.6%): 45 in cancellous bone grafts (6.8% of all the cancellous bone grafts), 18 in cortical grafts (7.6% of all the cortical grafts) and 2 in tendons (2.1% of all the tendons). The most frequently isolated bacterium was *Staphylococcus epidermidis* which represented 47% (30 grafts) of all the cases of contamination, followed by *Streptococcus viridans* in 26% of the cases (17 grafts). If we add the contamination by *Staphylococcus aureus* (7%), Gram-positive bacteria represent 80% of the contamination cases. Other isolated organisms were: *Neisseria sicca* (3.3%), *Corynebacterium* species (3.3%), *Enterococcus* (3.3%), *Bacillus* species (3.3%), *Salmonella enteritidis* (3.3%) and *Proteus mirabilis* (3.3%). Only 2 cultures done at the time of implantation from 763 grafts were positive for *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. There was no clinical evidence of infection after 3 years in the 2 patients who received these grafts. Three blood cultures from 81 cadaveric donors were positive: 2 for *Staphylococcus epidermidis* and 1 for *Bacillus* species. Of all the bones procured, the femur (12%) and the hemipelvis (20%) were the most frequently contaminated.

DISCUSSION

Considering the low number of positive cultures at the time of implantation, bacterial control of the harvested grafts, using the methods that have been advocated by the American Association of Tissue Banks (1, 2), appears to be effective in making sterile grafts available at the time of implantation. When these standards are strictly followed, the risk of transmitting bacterial diseases to the recipient is significantly diminished.

As reported by other authors (5, 8, 9), as much as 10% of the harvested bone is discarded, due to demonstrable bacterial contamination that originated from the donor or was incurred during the procurement, preservation, or storage of the graft. Delloye *et al.* (4) reported an overall contamination rate of 12.2% of sterile-procured bone. Our lower percentage of overall contamination could be related to the low number of positive blood cultures in the donors, or to the sensibility of the bacterial contamination screening methods.

The rate of contamination is higher in whole bones, especially femur and hemipelvis, because the handling of these grafts is greater and the time of procurement is longer. It is useful to avoid delay between sampling and culture and it is also important to pack and place each graft in the bank immediately after deperiostization and swabbing, rather than at the end of the operation.

The most frequently isolated bacteria are Gram-positive organisms as reported by others (3, 4, 9). Since the major source of contamination is the skin, efforts should be made to sterilize it. Skin preparation with a 2% iodine solution and draping, in addition to double-gloving and periodic glove changes, appears to be a valuable aid in diminishing bone contamination.

Although some authors (3) gamma-irradiate allografts with positive cultures, we prefer to discard them because it is known that irradiation exposes the bone to mechanical weakening (7).

Clinically, it seems that in most cases of infection after the use of massive allografts, there is no evidence that the source was the graft (9). Tomford *et al.* (9), however, reported 3 cases of infections in patients in whom bones from the same cadaver were used. One possible explanation for the infections was contamination at the time of procurement and failure of swab cultures to detect the contaminating organisms.

To avoid this problem some authors (10) have recently proposed the rinsing of bones in a sterile solution followed by aerobic and anaerobic incubation of the solution. They have proved in an experimental model that this is a better screening method for bacterial contamination than the conventional swab.

REFERENCES

1. American Association of Tissue Banks : Standards for tissue banking. American Association of Tissue Banks, Virginia, 1984.
2. American Association of Tissue Banks : Standards for tissue banking. American Association of Tissue Banks, Virginia, 1987. (Revision to standards, effective January 15, 1988, section C1.330).
3. Chapman P. G., Villar R. N. The bacteriology of bone allografts. *J. Bone Joint Surg.*, 1992, 74-B, 398-399.
4. Delloye C., De Halleux J., Cornu O., Wegmann E., Buccafusca G. C., Gigi J. Organizational and investigational aspects of bone banking in Belgium. *Acta Orthop. Belg.*, 1991, 57 (Suppl. II), 27-34.
5. Lord F., Gebhardt M. C., Tomford W. W., Mankin H. J. Infection in bone allografts. Incidence, nature and treatment. *J. Bone Joint Surg.*, 1988, 70-A, 369-376.
6. Nash P., Krenz M. Culture Media. In Balows A., Hauster Jr. W. J., Hermann K. L., Isemberg H. D., Shadomy H. J., Eds. *Manual of clinical microbiology*. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C., 1991, 1226-1288.
7. Pelker R. R., Friedlander G. E. Biomechanical aspects of bone autografts and allografts. *Orthop. Clin. N. Am.*, 1987, 18, 235-239.
8. Tomford W. W., Doppelt S. H., Mankin H. J., Friedlander G. E. 1983 Bone bank procedures. *Clin. Orthop.*, 1983, 174, 15-21.
9. Tomford W. W., Thogphasuk J., Mankin H. J., Ferraro M. J. Frozen musculoskeletal allografts. A study of the clinical incidence and causes of infection associated with their use. *Bone Joint Surg.*, 1990, 72-A, 1137-1143.
10. Von Garrel T., Mutters R., Garbas J., Knaepler H., Gotzen L. Microbiological testing methods for evaluation of the bacterial contamination of allogeneic bone grafts. *Proceedings European Association of Musculo-Skeletal Transplantation. EAMST Second Annual Meeting, Paris, April 18, 1993.*

SAMENVATTING

R. H. BARRIOS, M. LEYES, S. AMILLO, C. OTEIZA. Bacteriële contaminatie van allogene bot-enten.

Het risico van bacteriële contaminatie via allogene bottransplantatie is een belangrijk probleem voor de

botbanken. Deze studie heeft tot doel de beschrijving van het aantal contaminaties bij 987 enten, die onder strenge aseptische techniek gepreleveerd werden tussen 1989 en 1992. Al de greffen werden steriel bewaard op -80°C (cortex en pezen) en op -40°C (spongieus bot). Het algemeen contaminatie percentage beliep 6,6%, met in 80% van de positieve culturen vaststelling van Gram-positieve bacteria.

De contaminatiebronnen, de meest frekwente geïsoleerde bacteria en de technieken bij het preleveren en het transplanteren worden geanalyseerd, met het oog op een vermindering van het risico voor contaminatie.

De auteurs konkluderen dat de technieken voor preleveren, manipuleren en stockeren van de verschillende weefsels adequaat zijn om steriele allogene enten te bekomen.

RÉSUMÉ

R. H. BARRIOS, M. LEYES, S. AMILLO, C. OTEIZA. Contamination bactérienne des allogreffes osseuses.

Le risque de contamination bactérienne par transplantation d'allogreffe osseuse est un problème auquel sont confrontées les banques d'os. Le but de cette étude est l'analyse du taux de contamination dans une série de 987 greffes, prélevées dans des conditions strictement aseptiques entre 1989 et 1992. Les greffes furent conservées à -80°C (os cortical et tendons) et à -40°C (os spongieux).

Le taux de contamination était de 6,6% ; des bactéries Gram-positives furent identifiées dans 80% des cultures positives.

Les auteurs discutent des différentes sources possibles de contamination, des bactéries isolées le plus fréquemment et des différentes étapes dans le prélèvement et la transplantation en s'attachant aux procédés qui permettent de réduire le risque de contamination.

Les auteurs concluent à l'efficacité des méthodes de prélèvement, de manipulation et de stockage pour la préparation des allogreffes.